Emerging Property of Masked Token for Effective Pre-training

Hyesong Choi¹, Hunsang Lee², Seyoung Joung¹, Hyejin Park¹, Jiyeong Kim¹, and Dongbo Min^{1†}

¹ Ewha W. University
 ² Hyundai Motor Company

Abstract. Driven by the success of Masked Language Modeling (MLM), the realm of self-supervised learning for computer vision has been invigorated by the central role of Masked Image Modeling (MIM) in driving recent breakthroughs. Notwithstanding the achievements of MIM across various downstream tasks, its overall efficiency is occasionally hampered by the lengthy duration of the pre-training phase. This paper presents a perspective that the optimization of masked tokens as a means of addressing the prevailing issue. Initially, we delve into an exploration of the inherent properties that a masked token ought to possess. Within the properties, we principally dedicated to articulating and emphasizing the 'data distinctiveness' attribute inherent in masked tokens. Through a comprehensive analysis of the heterogeneity between masked tokens and visible tokens within pre-trained models, we propose a novel approach termed masked token optimization (MTO), specifically designed to improve model efficiency through weight recalibration and the enhancement of the key property of masked tokens. The proposed method serves as an adaptable solution that seamlessly integrates into any MIM approach that leverages masked tokens. As a result, MTO achieves a considerable improvement in pre-training efficiency, resulting in an approximately 50% reduction in pre-training epochs required to attain converged performance of the recent approaches. Code is available at https://github.com/doihye/MTO.

Keywords: Self-supervised Learning \cdot Masked Image Modeling \cdot Masked Token Optimization \cdot Entropy \cdot Heterogeneity

1 Introduction

Pre-training of universal language representations [7, 9, 22, 28, 29] has been a crucial area of Natural Language Processing (NLP), especially when training

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00222385) and the Institute of Information & communications Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. RS-2022-00155966, Artificial Intelligence Convergence Innovation Human Resources Development (Ewha Womans University)). [†] Corresponding author: dbmin@ewha.ac.kr

large-scale models [3, 32]. Following the philosophy of Masked Language Modeling (MLM) [2,7,9,22], Masked Image Modeling (MIM) [1,10,13,38] has been at the core of recent advances in self-supervised learning for computer vision. MIM applies the principles of MLM to images, enabling effective pre-training of Transformers and improving transfer learning performances. The essence of these Masked Signal Modeling approaches lies in encouraging the model to predict the gaps in an input signal to learn the contextual relationships between signals while capturing an overall structure.

Despite the tremendous successes of MIM in diverse downstream tasks, the long pre-training phase that it entails tends to impede its efficiency. Concretely, a substantial amount of pre-training, typically from 800 to 1600 epochs, is essential to attain the convergence of the Transformer for transfer learning. Meanwhile, several methodologies have been employed for MIM in an effort to alleviate the disparities that exist between the linguistic and visual domains when leveraging the MLM concept. For instance, patch tokenization [1] is introduced to emulate the discrete nature of language tokens, while raw pixel regression [38] is adopted to attune with the continuous visual signals. However, the intrinsic properties of masked tokens, a vital component of MIM, have yet to be comprehensively surveyed by the vision community. In this paper, we address the lengthy pre-training issue caused by low convergence rates through the optimization of masked tokens, focusing on their inherent properties that have been previously overlooked.

Here we come to the pivotal inquiry that lies at the heart of the discourse: What properties should a masked token have within the realm of MIM? Given the premise that the masked token is selected and masked from the training data, it is imperative that the selected masked token exhibits certain specific attributes; (i) Spatial Randomness: Masked tokens must be randomly selected from input patches, so that the model can learn to predict tokens in various locations and semantics. Regarding this property, a research direction incorporating prior knowledge into the spatial randomness of masked tokens [16, 37] is currently debated. (ii) Substitutional Consistency, in the masking process, randomly selected visible tokens should consistently be replaced with the same learnable parameters [35], allowing the model to recognize and reconstruct them during pre-training. Lastly, (iii) **Data Distinctiveness**. This last facet represents a novel property that we aim to assert and demonstrate throughout the entire manuscript. It signifies that the masked token in the initial embedding should be unique token that are unlikely to manifest in the training data. Stated differently, the masked tokens should exhibit a negligible correlation with visible tokens to mitigate the possibility of obfuscation, when given as inputs to the attention layers. Employing masked tokens that are well differentiated from visible tokens enables the model to identify semantics within the training data. thereby improving focused pretext prediction capability.

Visual signals are inherently continuous, making it challenging for masked tokens to ensure data distinctiveness, as they cannot be explicitly differentiated like their discrete text token counterparts. To be specific, due to the clear semantics associated with each word in the text, the distinctiveness of masked tokens can be easily preserved during the pretext prediction process in the linguistic domain. Contrarily, the Tokenizer-based approach [19] has reported that in image tokenizers, different semantic patches can have similar token under visual discretization. This finding indicates that the task of distinguishing masked tokens from visible tokens is adverse in the context of the visual tokenizer, where patches are represented as continuous values. Hence, attaining the desired distinctiveness of the masked token to the training data solely relies upon the model's convergence through a prolonged pre-training process, akin to the predictions of a black-box system devoid of explicit constraints. Therefore, we propose an analysis of masked tokens and optimization based on it by directing our attention toward the data distinctiveness among the trifecta of properties.

Our initial step encompassed a heterogeneity analysis of masked tokens against the visible tokens to demonstrate the manifestation of the masked token's data distinctiveness characteristic within the model upon reaching convergence. Moreover, the scope of this analysis is designed to investigate both the extent and the tendency of how heterogeneity unfolds throughout the different layers of the network's architecture. Building upon the insights from the heterogeneity analysis, we propose a sophisticated method for optimizing masked tokens. The proposed Masked Token Optimization (MTO) approach includes a strategic exclusion of semantically inconsequential masked tokens from the weight aggregation process associated with visible tokens, achieved through weight recalibration. At the same time, the proposed MTO method explicitly imposes constraints on data distinctiveness throughout the optimization of masked tokens to reinforce the model's capacity to differentiate between tasks, given the distinctive roles that masked tokens and visible tokens assume within the architecture; the masked token is integral to pretext prediction, whereas the visible token is essential for the encoding and decoding of representations.

The proposed Masked Token Optimization (MTO) represents a versatile and adaptable method capable of seamless integration into any MIM-based approach utilizing masked tokens, thus empowering pre-training operations with heightened efficiency and performance. The succeeding sections of the paper present the empirical evidence of the efficacy of the MTO approach when integrated into diverse MIM methodologies including SimMIM [38], MAE [13] and Boot-MAE [10]. The findings demonstrate that the application of MTO induces rapid model convergence and substantial improvements in representation learning. Notably, MTO improves the pre-training efficiency by approximately halving the pre-training epochs required to reach converged performance in the recent MIM approaches. Such outcomes provide a compelling justification for the wide-scale adoption of MTO as an useful plug-and-play tool in pre-training procedures.

2 Preliminaries

We start by revisiting the recent framework of MIM. The latest advancements in MIM [10,13,38] have surpassed the past two-stage methods [1,19] by integrating masked prediction and the autoencoder training in a single end-to-end process,

4 H. Choi *et al.*

aiming at encoding valuable representation and predicting pretext for masked patches [42]. As these approaches are built upon Transformer architectures [11, 23, 24, 33], we assume the underlying framework is an attention model [11, 24] throughout the paper. An input image $I \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times 3}$ is first divided into nonoverlapping $N = H \times W/P^2$ patches. Then, patches are randomly sampled and masked with a high masking ratio, reflecting the information redundancy [13].

Let δ_M be defined as a set of masked indexes where visible tokens are replaced by a mask token. In general, the representation of masked modeling is trained via the minimization of the following self-supervised objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ss}(f(I;\Theta)) = \frac{1}{|\delta_M|} \sum_{i \in \delta_M} \|f(I;\Theta)_i - I_i\|_2^2, \tag{1}$$

with pretext prediction network f and its learnable parameters Θ . In Sim-MIM [38], f is jointly learned with semantic encoding using masked tokens within the encoder. In contrast, the encoder of MAE [13] solely leverages the visible image tokens. Then, the encoded visual patches are fed into the Transformer decoder, where masked tokens are employed for pretext prediction.

3 Analysis

To investigate the tendency of the heterogeneity between masked token and visible tokens, we analyze the pre-trained models of the recent approaches [13,38].

3.1 Heterogeneity Measure via Entropy

We define the degree of heterogeneity as the mutual dependence of masked tokens with respect to visible tokens in each layer as follows:

$$H = -\frac{1}{|\delta_M|} \sum_i \sum_j A_{i,j} \log(A_{i,j}) \quad \text{where} \quad A = \psi(X_M X_V^\top).$$
(2)

We define $X_M = \{x_i | i \in \delta_M\}$ as a set of masked tokens, $X_V = \{x_i | i \notin \delta_M\}$ as a set of visible image token, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{|\delta_M| \times (N - |\delta_M|)}$ is the affinity matrix that represents the probabilistic similarity between X_M and X_V , and ψ is the scaling function, *i.e.* row-wise softmax, that scales logits into a probability distribution relative to the visible image token.

3.2 Heterogeneity Analysis

We assume that it is necessary for masked tokens and visible tokens to exhibit substantial variability in terms of their distinct data properties in the initial embedding prior to being processed by the attention layers. This distinctiveness between masked tokens and visible tokens is instrumental in enhancing the model's ability to differentiate between the two tasks, owing to their distinct roles in the architecture; the former serves the purpose of pretext prediction



Fig. 1: To investigate the heterogeneity between masked token and visible token, we analyze the pre-trained models of the recent approaches [13, 38]. (a) shows that the heterogeneity between two distinct types of tokens is highest on the initial embedding for both approaches, and it gradually decreases in subsequent layers. Unlike the pre-trained model, the heterogeneity of the non-converged SimMIM [38] model shown in (b) displays an erratic trend, indicating that the tendency of heterogeneity is acquired through model convergence.

while the latter aids in feature encoding and decoding. On the other hand, in subsequent layers, the masked tokens are gradually recovered by the neighboring visible tokens and will exhibit a heightened correlation with them.

Our investigation centered on determining whether models that demonstrate effective convergence uphold these hypotheses. To this end, we analyze the pretrained models of the recent approaches [13,38] from two facets: 1) Heterogeneity analysis on pre-trained models of different methods and 2) heterogeneity analysis of converged and non-converged models.

Heterogeneity analysis on pre-trained models of different methods The heterogeneity between the masked and visible tokens across every layer of two pre-trained models, MAE and SimMIM [13,38] utilizing ViT [11] as a backbone is shown in Figure 1 (a). Note that 'layer depth 0' refers to the initial embedding stage before the masked token is fed into the attention layer as an input. Figure 1 (a) shows that the heterogeneity between two distinct types of tokens is highest on the initial embedding for both approaches, and it gradually decreases in subsequent layers. The high heterogeneity in the initial embedding phase reflects the data distinctiveness of the masked tokens, whereas the masked tokens are reconstructed to resemble the visible tokens, leading to reduced heterogeneity in subsequent layers.

Furthermore, Figure 2 presents the affinity map between every token pair for each layer of the pre-trained model [38]. Affinity maps are listed in order from initial embedding to subsequent layers, and we used min-max normalization for visualization. In the interest of understanding, the x-axis and y-axis of the affinity map are both arranged in the order of masked token X_M and visible image token X_V . Thus, we divided the affinity map into quadrants. Note that, the heterogeneity H is defined in the second quadrant as it corresponds to the mutual dependence of masked tokens with respect to visible tokens.

5

MTO



Fig. 2: We present the affinity map between every token pair for each layer of the pre-trained model [38]. Affinity maps are listed in order from initial embedding to subsequent layers, and the x-axis and y-axis of the affinity map are both arranged in the order of masked token X_M and the visible image token X_V . Note that, the layer depth increases from top-left to bottom-right. Min-max normalization was used for the visualization of the affinity maps.

In the first quadrant, the masked token, initially a singular parameter in the initial embedding, resembles the visible token in the subsequent layers, resulting in various correlation values. Within the second and third quadrants, the relationship between the masked token and the visible token exhibits a relatively low correlation during the initial layers. However, as the masked token undergoes the reconstruction process, increasingly higher correlations materialize in the subsequent layers. Finally, the correlation between the visible tokens in the fourth quadrant is relatively constant regardless of layer depth. In conclusion, the result of the affinity map qualitatively validates the orthogonality between the masked token and the visible token in the initial embedding while revealing a progressive enhancement in their similarity throughout the subsequent layers. In line with the above hypothesis, the heterogeneity from the initial input to the subsequent layers shows a distinct decrease in the overall results of Figure 1 and Figure 2.

Heterogeneity analysis of converged and non-converged models The heterogeneity of the converged model ('After Convergence') and the non-converged model at the early stage ('Before Convergence') of SimMIM [38] is shown in Figure 1 (b). Unlike the converged model, where the heterogeneity steadily decreases, the heterogeneity of the non-converged model displays an erratic trend, lacking any discernible pattern or structure. The results indicate that a model lacking a distinct inclination towards heterogeneity at the beginning of training achieves the desired attributes of the masked token through subsequent convergence.

4 Masked Token Optimization

Our endeavor lies in mitigating the issue of the prolonged pre-training phase by imposing explicit constraints on the optimization of masked tokens.

7



Fig. 3: The proposed Masked Token Optimization (MTO) approach encompasses the selective exclusion of semantically inconsequential masked tokens from the weight aggregation process pertaining to visible tokens with (3), and at the same time, it enforces data distinctiveness constraints (4) and (5) based on the depth of the layer to enhance the model's capability to accurately identify regions necessitating semantic restoration.

In the initial embedding, the parameter designated for masked tokens is identical across all corrupted tokens, with their respective values being set via a random initialization process. In this context, the semantic voidness within masked tokens exerts a negative impact on the process of learning features of visible tokens, impeding the overall efficacy of the representation learning. Therefore, we propose an explicit optimization in the initial embedding stage that allows the masked token to be reconstructed by being influenced by the visible token, but conversely, constrains the visible token from being affected by the masked tokens. This is achievable through the integration of a sparsity-inducing constraining term directly into the weight-learning mechanism of the affinity matrix between masked tokens and visible tokens. As shown in Figure 2, the x-axis and y-axis of the affinity map are both arranged in the order of masked token X_M and visible image token X_V , which allows the affinity map to be divided into four quadrants. Concretely, we propose to explore intuitive per-row sparsities within the third and fourth quadrants of the matrix as they correspond to the reciprocal dependencies between image tokens in relation to masked tokens and between image tokens themselves, respectively. The following constraint recalibrates the weight distribution between visible and masked tokens on a row-specific basis, increasing the weight between visible-visible tokens in comparison to the weight assigned to visible-masked token interactions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{spa}(f(I;\Theta)) = -\sum_{i \notin \delta_M} \sum_j (p_{i,j} \log p_{i,j})$$
(3)

where p is an element of affinity matrix $\psi(XX^{\top})$ that satisfies $0 < p_{i,j} < 1$ and $\sum_{i} p_{i,j} = 1$.

Minimizing the \mathcal{L}_{spa} loss on a row-wise basis ensures the preferential allocation of maximum weight amongst the interaction of visible and visible tokens rather than the interaction of visible and masked tokens, which facilitates the exclusion of semantically inconsequential masked tokens from the weight aggregation process of visible tokens. This assertion can be substantiated through the proof in Section 5 of the Supplementary material. The proof explicates the underlying operational principle of (3), elucidating that achieving minimum entropy per row is contingent upon the consistent assignment of maximum weight exclusively to interactions between visible tokens. This strategic approach plays a pivotal role in effectively preventing the influence of masked token values on the representation learning of visible tokens, thereby upholding the integrity of the learning algorithm.

Furthermore, as investigated in Section 3, the parameter pertaining to the masked token of the initial embedding is trained to exhibit a diminutive correlation with the visible token to fulfill the property of data distinctiveness. In existing methods, this property can solely be achieved by means of the model's convergence, which is secured through a long pre-training procedure. From the perspective of the distinctiveness of the masked token in the initial embedding, we propose to explicitly augment the heterogeneity from the visible token rather than solely relying on model convergence. By distinctly differentiating the masked token from the visible token, the network gains the capability to accurately identify regions necessitating semantic restoration, thereby paving the way for a more efficient learning process. The optimization for the initial masked token embedding can be formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_e(f(I;\Theta)) = \frac{1}{H^0 + \epsilon},\tag{4}$$

where H^0 denotes heterogeneity defined in (2) of the initial embedding stage before passing through the attention layers and ϵ is a small value to prevent zero division. Eq. (4) augments the distinctiveness of masked tokens by maximizing the heterogeneity of masked tokens over visible tokens.

On the other hand, masked tokens in the subsequent layers tend to exhibit a notable correlation towards the visible tokens as they are gradually reconstructed through interaction with neighboring tokens in the attention layers. In light of this, with regard to the distinctiveness among tokens, we impose a constraint on the subsequent layers to have progressively lower heterogeneity. Considering the common direction of both aspects, we pursue a gradual reduction of heterogeneity. To this end, we intuitively employ the form of a ranking loss, strategically applied to the subsequent layers:

$$\mathcal{L}_{r}(f(I;\Theta)) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \log(1 + \exp(H^{l-1} - H^{l})).$$
(5)

By means of Eq. (5), we enforce a constraint upon the masked tokens in the subsequent layers, forcing them to exhibit diminished distinguishability from the visible tokens. Concurrently, we grant masked tokens the capability to exert an enhanced influence over the feature-learning process of the visible tokens. This intricate interplay of masked tokens across entire layers strikes a balance, promoting the convergence of token representations while employing only essential

Algorithm 1 Masked Token Optimization (MTO)
1: Initialize:
$f(I; \Theta) \leftarrow \text{initial embedding of } I \text{ with parameters } \Theta$
2: Recalibrate Weights:
Minimize a sparsity-inducing constraint on the affinity matrix to exclude semanti-
cally inconsequential masked tokens. $L_{\text{spa}}(f(I;\Theta)) = -\sum_{i \notin \delta_{i,i}} \sum_{j} (p_{i,j} \log p_{i,j})$
3: Enhance Data Distinctiveness:
Maximize the heterogeneity of masked tokens in the initial embedding:
$L_e(f(I;\Theta)) = \frac{1}{H_0+\epsilon}$
4: Progressive Refinement:
Ensure gradual reduction of heterogeneity in subsequent layers using ranking loss:
$L_r(f(I;\Theta)) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \log(1 + \exp(H^{l-1} - H^l))$
5: Final Objective: Combine the losses for final optimization:
$L_{\rm total} = L_{\rm spa} + L_e + L_r$
6: Update: Update the parameters Θ to minimize L_{total}

information within the learning framework. Finally, our method is distilled into the steps outlined in Algorithm 1.

5 Experiments

In this section, we assess the efficacy of the proposed MTO approach through a series of pre-training and fine-tuning experiments. As MTO is an adaptable and plug-and-play method for any Masked Image Modeling (MIM)-based approach that utilizes masked tokens, we apply it to multiple baseline approaches [10, 13, 38, 40] to evaluate the effectiveness. Please refer to the Supplementary material for more experimental results, detailed analysis, and ablation studies.

5.1 Metric for Efficient Pre-training

The main objective of MTO is to reduce the substantial pre-training time of Transformer-based architecture, that is to say, accelerating the convergence speed. The area under the curve can be one of the metrics that quantify the rate of convergence because the faster the network converges and the better the network performance, the higher the value. Thus, to quantify the relative performance improvement over the baseline approaches, we propose the RAUC measure, denoting the relative area under the curve, as follows:

$$RAUC(S1, S2; E1, E2) = \frac{\int_{E1}^{E2} (S2(E) - S1(E1)) dE}{\int_{E1}^{E2} (S1(E) - S1(E1)) dE}$$
(6)

Here, E1 and E2 represent the number of epochs, and S(E) is set to the performance of the target method S at specific epoch E. This measure serves as a quantitative indicator, precisely delineating the extent of relative performance improvement across a specified range of epochs.



Fig. 4: The comprehensive performance results of applying MTO to various baselines [10, 13, 38, 40]. MTO achieves a substantial improvement in the efficiency of pretraining by attaining the standard performance within approximately 400 epochs across all baseline methods in common. This signifies that remarkable enhancement in efficiency is achievable across any MIM method through the application of MTO, rendering it a viable option for masked tokens.

5.2 Baseline Models

SimMIM [38] models masked image reconstruction as a pretext task for selfsupervised pre-training of Transformer architecture. In SimMIM, masked tokens are semantically encoded with visible image tokens in the Transformer encoder and are reconstructed with shallow MLP layers. We pre-train the ViT-B following the same hyper-parameters as [38] and our losses are additionally adopted to the Transformer encoder.

MAE [13]. Different from SimMIM [38], only visible image tokens pass through the Transformer encoder for efficient pre-training. Thus, masked tokens are concatenated with encoded visible tokens and pass through the Transformer decoder, separating the semantic encoding task from the pretext prediction task. In MAE, We pre-train the ViT-B and ViT-L following the same hyper-parameters as [13] and losses are adopted to the Transformer decoder.

BootMAE [10] introduced bootstrapped MAE that combines a bootstrapped feature prediction task into the original MAE. BootMAE learns separate decoders for pixel regression and feature prediction with the same masking strategy as MAE. Thus, we adopted our masked token optimization strategy for both pixel regression and feature prediction Transformer decoders.

ConMIM [40] taps into the significant potential of contrastive learning within denoising auto-encoding frameworks. It focuses on generating straightforward intra-image inter-patch contrastive constraints as the primary learning goals for predicting masked patches. This approach eliminates the need for additional training stages often required in customizing image tokenizers.

5.3 Performance Comparisons

We report the results of the proposed method trained on the recent baselines [10, 13, 38, 40] in Figure 4 and Table 1. Following the same settings for each baseline method, we pre-trained and fine-tuned on ImageNet-1K classification dataset for main evaluation. Note that, training recent approaches require a huge hardware specification, making it increasingly difficult to reproduce the reported results. As all experiments were conducted in our hardware configuration (8×

RAUC(S1, S2; E1, E2)					
S1	S2	(E1, (100, 400))	(E2) (200, 400)		
SimMIM [38] BootMAE [10]	SimMIM + MTO BootMAE + MTO	1.47	1.44		
MAE [13] ConMIM [40]	MAE + MTO MAE + MTO ConMIM + MTO	1.19 1.32 1.21	$1.22 \\ 1.29 \\ 1.17$		

Table 1: We report the evaluation of the proposed relative area under the curve (RAUC) measure over the baseline approaches [10, 13, 38, 40]. The same backbone network (ViT-B) is used for pre-training.

	Method	Backbone	Ep 400	och 800	$\begin{array}{c} RAUC \\ (S1, S2; 400, 800) \end{array}$
S1 S2	$\begin{vmatrix} \text{MAE} & [13] \\ \text{MAE} + \text{MTO} \end{vmatrix}$	ViT-L	68.5 72.8	$72.7 \\ 74.1$	1.20
S1 S2	$\begin{vmatrix} \text{MAE} & [13] \\ \text{MAE} + \text{MTO} \end{vmatrix}$	ViT-B	$57.4 \\ 63.6$	$63.9 \\ 64.8$	1.23
S1 S2	$ \begin{array}{c c} \text{BootMAE} & [10] \\ \text{BootMAE} + \text{MTO} \end{array} $	ViT-B	63.8 66.1	$\begin{array}{c} 65.4 \\ 66.8 \end{array}$	1.22
S1 S2		ViT-B	$\begin{array}{c c} 32.2 \\ 38.7 \end{array}$	$39.2 \\ 40.3$	1.20

Table 2: We report the linear evaluation accuracy as a means to assess the capacity of pre-trained representations to capture relevant features and demonstrate their applicability to specific tasks.

Proposed optimization			Top 1 $Acc(\%)$
\mathcal{L}_{spa}	\mathcal{L}_{e}	\mathcal{L}_r	10p-1 Acc(70)
\checkmark			83.2
	\checkmark		83.3
		\checkmark	82.8
\checkmark	\checkmark		83.4
\checkmark		\checkmark	83.0
	\checkmark	\checkmark	83.4
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	83.5

 Table 3: We present the detailed ablation study conducted for the importance of the proposed objectives.

RTX 3090) for fair comparison, the results we reported may differ from those of their manuscript. For the fair experimental schedule, all the 400 epoch performances were equally measured in intermediate stages in the training towards 800 epochs.

Figure 4 presents a main comparison of the top-1 accuracy between the baseline models of SimMIM [38], MAE [13], BootMAE [10], ConMIM [40] and our method with the MTO applied. We conducted experiments on ViT-B [11] and ViT-L as a backbone attention network.

Through the application of MTO, the convergence process was significantly accelerated in all baseline methods, with the baseline's standard performance be-

12 H. Choi et al.

ing achieved within the range of 300 to 500 epochs. Specifically, in the case of the SimMIM [38] and BootMAE [10] baseline, the application of MTO remarkably expedited performance, achieving in merely 300 to 400 epochs what typically requires 800 epochs, thereby realizing an impressive pre-training reduction rate of 54% and 58%. For both MAE [13] and ConMIM [40], the performances of the existing 800-epoch baseline were attained remarkably within just 400 epochs with the application of MTO. Our approach facilitated a substantial pre-training reduction rate of 50% for both methods. Moreover, even in the MAE [13] baseline utilizing the data-hungry model ViT-L, the introduction of MTO yielded impressive outcomes, manifesting in a pre-training epoch reduction rate of 43%.

The deployment of the proposed methodology across a range of baselines unequivocally validated the improvement of pre-training efficiency attributed to the implementation of MTO. This advancement stemmed from the effective training of masked tokens, a theme emphasized consistently throughout the manuscript, leading to a marked enhancement in the overall efficacy of pretext prediction and the refinement of representation learning.

RAUC: Table 1 reports the evaluation using the proposed relative area under the curve (RAUC) measure over the baseline approaches [10, 13, 38, 40]. This introduced metric effectively highlights the relative performance enhancements, offering a clear and immediate understanding upon initial observation. Across the range from 200 to 400 epochs, the relative performance improvement rates for SimMIM [38], BootMAE [10], MAE [13], and ConMIM [40] are 44%, 22%, 29% and 17%, respectively. Besides, in the overall range from 100 to 400 epochs, the relative performance increase rate was amplified further, showing rates of 47%, 19%, 32%, and 21% for each baseline. The result accentuates the diverse degrees of enhancement achieved by applying MTO to each method. Moreover, it confirms MTO's efficacy in reducing the pre-training epochs across all baselines, encompassing the full spectrum of the training procedure.

Furthermore, we report the linear evaluation accuracy in Table 2 as a means to assess the capacity of pre-trained representations to capture relevant features and demonstrate their applicability to specific tasks. Upon the application of MTO, the performance achieved at 400 epochs highly surpasses the performance achieved at the same epoch by all the baseline methods [10, 13, 40], manifesting an acceleration in the convergence process. Especially for MAE, the application of MTO demonstrated superior efficiency with both ViT-B and ViT-L architectures, highlighting the method's adaptability and effectiveness across different architectures.

Table 2 additionally reports the evaluation using the proposed relative area under the curve (RAUC) measure over the baseline approaches [13,38] by setting the baseline method as S1 and the MTO application as S2, using the equation (8). Within the range of 400 to 800 epochs, MTO exhibits a substantial relative performance improvements of 20% overall. The comprehensive results in Table 2 reveal that the MTO method significantly enhances performance and accelerates convergence in methods employing masked tokens. This broad efficacy suggests that MTO exhibits excellent generalizability across a range of masked image modeling methods.

5.4 Ablation on Objectives

In our approach, we introduce three novel objectives, denoted as \mathcal{L}_{spa} , \mathcal{L}_{e} and \mathcal{L}_{r} specifically designed for masked token optimization. Table 3 presents the detailed ablation study conducted for the importance of these objectives. All experiments report the ImageNet-1K classification accuracy on 400 epochs of SimMIM [38] using ViT-B as a backbone architecture.

Broadly speaking, each objective contributed notably to enhancing the performance and expediting the convergence process. Upon delving into the specifics, it becomes apparent that \mathcal{L}_r , when utilized in isolation, emerged as a factor contributing to the destabilization of performance outcomes. This phenomenon arises due to the fact that ranking loss merely modulates the magnitude of heterogeneity for each laver sequentially. Such a singular approach carries the risk of systemic collapse, potentially leading to scenarios where all heterogeneity converges to zero, thus undermining the model's structural integrity. Nevertheless, the simultaneous application of \mathcal{L}_r with \mathcal{L}_e leads to a harmonized effect. The entropy maximization impact of \mathcal{L}_e at the first layer acts as a regulatory mechanism, effectively elevating the overall performance to a notable 83.4. Moreover, both \mathcal{L}_{spa} and \mathcal{L}_{e} demonstrate meaningful importance within the overall methodology. The sole application of each led to favourable enhancements in overall performance, elevating it to 83.2 and 83.3, respectively, underscoring their individual efficacy in the process. Consequently, the synergistic integration of all three optimizations emerged as a requisite for achieving the zenith of convergence acceleration and performance enhancement, highlighting the necessity of their collective implementation for optimal results.

6 Related Work

6.1 Masked Language Modeling

Masked Language Modeling (MLM) [2, 7–9, 12, 22, 29–31, 41] predicts removed tokens based on remained ones to inject the ability of learning semantic representation of a corpus to the network. While MLM has brought rapid advances in natural language processing (NLP) and have been shown to scale and generalize well on downstream tasks [3], the problem of prelonged convergence time and immense computation of naive MLM still remained. Amid these challenges, more efficient self-supervised pre-training approaches [6, 17, 18, 20, 34] have been proposed. ALBERT [17] proposes two parameter reduction techniques, factorized embedding parameterization and cross-layer parameter sharing, for memory efficiency and shortening the training time. Based on the Lottery Ticket Hypothesis (LTH), EaryBERT [6] prunes the network for efficient pre-training and fine-tuning. CCM [18] designs a curriculum masking framework that gradually 14 H. Choi et al.

masks similar tokens of similar concepts in an easy-to-difficult order. Similar to MAE [13], 3ML [20] learns the encoder by separating the mask tokens from the sequence and conducts reconstruction only through the decoder.

6.2 Masked Image Modeling

Masked Image Modeling (MIM) [1, 4, 5, 10, 13–15, 21, 25–27, 36, 38, 39, 43–45] is a relatively new technique that has gained popularity in the field of computer vision and machine learning in recent years. The basic idea behind MIM is to predict missing or occluded parts of an image using a neural network trained on partially masked images. Inspired by NLP, iGPT [5] and iBERT [36] have attempted to transfer the pretext task of masked prediction from language to image data, but these have caught less attention due to their inferior performance to other approaches. Different from iBERT which directly reconstructs the masked patches, BEiT [1] uses a two-stage approach that requires a pre-trained discrete variational autoencoder (dVAE) to generate discretized target visual tokens. In contrast, MAE [13] and SimMIM [38] are end-to-end training methods of masked autoencoders. MAE predicts masked patches directly from unmasked ones with a high masking ratio of 75%. SimMIM has a similar structure to MAE but with a larger patch size and multiple masking strategies.

Despite the impressive performance, masked autoencoder approaches require a large amount of computation with large-scale training datasets. Researchers have explored using hierarchical Vision Transformers (ViTs) to improve the efficiency of pre-training models for masked image modeling by enabling the ViTs to discard masked patches and only operate on visible ones. GreenMIM [15] introduced group window attention, while HiViT [44] and MixMAE [21] enable masking in hierarchical ViT. In contrast to prior methodologies, the proposed method considers the inherent properties of the tokens employed by MIM as a fundamental approach to effective pre-training.

7 Conclusion

This work delves into the properties of masked tokens, examines their heterogeneity with visible tokens, and proposes a novel approach termed masked token optimization (MTO). MTO boosts both pretext prediction and semantic encoding by emphasizing data distinctiveness of the masked token, achieving a considerable improvement on pre-training efficiency. Also, our method can be applied to any method in a plug-and-play manner thanks to a simple approach that only adds loss functions.

Limitations Within the scope of this manuscript, the triad of properties attributed to masked tokens, are not to be deemed immutable, but rather dynamic concepts subject to evolution. With the continual progression in the realm of Masked Image Modeling, it becomes imperative that these attributes undergo constant updates and refinement. Embracing this process of perpetual revision and advancement is vital to remain abreast of the ever-evolving landscape of research in this specialized field.

References

- Bao, H., Dong, L., Piao, S., Wei, F.: Beit: Bert pre-training of image transformers. In: International Conference on Learning Representations (2021)
- Bao, H., Dong, L., Wei, F., Wang, W., Yang, N., Liu, X., Wang, Y., Gao, J., Piao, S., Zhou, M., et al.: Unilmv2: Pseudo-masked language models for unified language model pre-training. In: International conference on machine learning. pp. 642–652. PMLR (2020)
- Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J.D., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., et al.: Language models are few-shot learners. Advances in neural information processing systems 33, 1877–1901 (2020)
- Cao, S., Xu, P., Clifton, D.A.: How to understand masked autoencoders. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.03670 (2022)
- Chen, M., Radford, A., Child, R., Wu, J., Jun, H., Luan, D., Sutskever, I.: Generative pretraining from pixels. In: International conference on machine learning. pp. 1691–1703. PMLR (2020)
- Chen, X., Cheng, Y., Wang, S., Gan, Z., Wang, Z., Liu, J.: Earlybert: Efficient bert training via early-bird lottery tickets. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00063 (2020)
- Clark, K., Luong, M.T., Le, Q.V., Manning, C.D.: Electra: Pre-training text encoders as discriminators rather than generators. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.10555 (2020)
- 8. Conneau, A., Lample, G.: Cross-lingual language model pretraining. Advances in neural information processing systems **32** (2019)
- Devlin, J., Chang, M.W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K.: Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 (2018)
- Dong, X., Bao, J., Zhang, T., Chen, D., Zhang, W., Yuan, L., Chen, D., Wen, F., Yu, N.: Bootstrapped masked autoencoders for vision bert pretraining. In: European Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 247–264. Springer (2022)
- Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., Dehghani, M., Minderer, M., Heigold, G., Gelly, S., et al.: An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929 (2020)
- Ghazvininejad, M., Levy, O., Liu, Y., Zettlemoyer, L.: Mask-predict: Parallel decoding of conditional masked language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09324 (2019)
- He, K., Chen, X., Xie, S., Li, Y., Dollár, P., Girshick, R.: Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 16000–16009 (2022)
- Hou, Z., Sun, F., Chen, Y.K., Xie, Y., Kung, S.Y.: Milan: Masked image pretraining on language assisted representation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.06049 (2022)
- Huang, L., You, S., Zheng, M., Wang, F., Qian, C., Yamasaki, T.: Green hierarchical vision transformer for masked image modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.13515 (2022)
- Kakogeorgiou, I., Gidaris, S., Psomas, B., Avrithis, Y., Bursuc, A., Karantzalos, K., Komodakis, N.: What to hide from your students: Attention-guided masked image modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.12719 (2022)
- Lan, Z., Chen, M., Goodman, S., Gimpel, K., Sharma, P., Soricut, R.: Albert: A lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations. In: International Conference on Learning Representations

- 16 H. Choi et al.
- Lee, M., Park, J.H., Kim, J., Kim, K.M., Lee, S.: Efficient pre-training of masked language model via concept-based curriculum masking. In: Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (2022)
- Li, X., Ge, Y., Yi, K., Hu, Z., Shan, Y., Duan, L.Y.: mc-beit: Multi-choice discretization for image bert pre-training. In: Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXX. pp. 231–246. Springer (2022)
- 20. Liao, B., Thulke, D., Hewavitharana, S., Ney, H., Monz, C.: Mask more and mask later: Efficient pre-training of masked language models by disentangling the [MASK] token". In: Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (2022)
- Liu, J., Huang, X., Liu, Y., Li, H.: Mixmim: Mixed and masked image modeling for efficient visual representation learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.13137 (2022)
- Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., Levy, O., Lewis, M., Zettlemoyer, L., Stoyanov, V.: Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692 (2019)
- Liu, Z., Hu, H., Lin, Y., Yao, Z., Xie, Z., Wei, Y., Ning, J., Cao, Y., Zhang, Z., Dong, L., et al.: Swin transformer v2: Scaling up capacity and resolution. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 12009–12019 (2022)
- Liu, Z., Lin, Y., Cao, Y., Hu, H., Wei, Y., Zhang, Z., Lin, S., Guo, B.: Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 10012–10022 (2021)
- 25. Pan, J., Zhou, P., Yan, S.: Towards understanding why mask-reconstruction pretraining helps in downstream tasks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.03826 (2022)
- Peng, Z., Dong, L., Bao, H., Ye, Q., Wei, F.: Beit v2: Masked image modeling with vector-quantized visual tokenizers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.06366 (2022)
- Peng, Z., Dong, L., Bao, H., Ye, Q., Wei, F.: A unified view of masked image modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.10615 (2022)
- Radford, A., Narasimhan, K., Salimans, T., Sutskever, I., et al.: Improving language understanding by generative pre-training (2018)
- Raffel, C., Shazeer, N., Roberts, A., Lee, K., Narang, S., Matena, M., Zhou, Y., Li, W., Liu, P.J.: Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. The Journal of Machine Learning Research 21(1), 5485–5551 (2020)
- Song, K., Tan, X., Qin, T., Lu, J., Liu, T.Y.: Mass: Masked sequence to sequence pre-training for language generation. In: International Conference on Machine Learning. pp. 5926–5936. PMLR (2019)
- Song, K., Tan, X., Qin, T., Lu, J., Liu, T.Y.: Mpnet: Masked and permuted pretraining for language understanding. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33, 16857–16867 (2020)
- Taylor, R., Kardas, M., Cucurull, G., Scialom, T., Hartshorn, A., Saravia, E., Poulton, A., Kerkez, V., Stojnic, R.: Galactica: A large language model for science. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09085 (2022)
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., Kaiser, L., Polosukhin, I.: Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017)
- Wettig, A., Gao, T., Zhong, Z., Chen, D.: Should you mask 15% in masked language modeling? arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08005 (2022)

- Wettig, A., Gao, T., Zhong, Z., Chen, D.: Should you mask 15% in masked language modeling? In: Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 2977–2992 (2023)
- v. Wintzingerode, F., Göbel, U.B., Stackebrandt, E.: Determination of microbial diversity in environmental samples: pitfalls of pcr-based rrna analysis. FEMS microbiology reviews 21(3), 213–229 (1997)
- Wu, J., Mo, S.: Object-wise masked autoencoders for fast pre-training. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14338 (2022)
- 38. Xie, Z., Zhang, Z., Cao, Y., Lin, Y., Bao, J., Yao, Z., Dai, Q., Hu, H.: Simmin: A simple framework for masked image modeling. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 9653–9663 (2022)
- 39. Xue, H., Gao, P., Li, H., Qiao, Y., Sun, H., Li, H., Luo, J.: Stare at what you see: Masked image modeling without reconstruction. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 22732–22741 (2023)
- Yi, K., Ge, Y., Li, X., Yang, S., Li, D., Wu, J., Shan, Y., Qie, X.: Masked image modeling with denoising contrast. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09616 (2022)
- Zaken, E.B., Ravfogel, S., Goldberg, Y.: Bitfit: Simple parameter-efficient fine-tuning for transformer-based masked language-models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.10199 (2021)
- Zhang, C., Zhang, C., Song, J., Yi, J.S.K., Zhang, K., Kweon, I.S.: A survey on masked autoencoder for self-supervised learning in vision and beyond. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.00173 (2022)
- Zhang, Q., Wang, Y., Wang, Y.: How mask matters: Towards theoretical understandings of masked autoencoders. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.08344 (2022)
- 44. Zhang, X., Tian, Y., Xie, L., Huang, W., Dai, Q., Ye, Q., Tian, Q.: Hivit: A simpler and more efficient design of hierarchical vision transformer. In: The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations (2023)
- Zhou, J., Wei, C., Wang, H., Shen, W., Xie, C., Yuille, A., Kong, T.: ibot: Image bert pre-training with online tokenizer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.07832 (2021)